

Translating Gödel's Notations

In his 1940 monograph on the consistency of the axiom of choice and the generalized continuum hypothesis, Kurt Gödel uses a number of notations which I find rather hard to remember. The following are translations from the notations that he uses in his Chapter 2 into the notations that I use in my **Otter** work. I mostly follow the notation used by Quaife, but on occasion my notations are different. (The notations that are used in my **GOEDEL** program are again slightly different.)

Gödel uses the notation $\mathfrak{C}l_s(X)$ to mean that X is a class. Quaife and I assume that everything is a class, so we do not need any special notation for this notion. To express that x is a set, Gödel writes $\mathfrak{M}(x)$, which Quaife and I would write as `member(x,V)`. Gödel uses capital letters for classes and lower case letters for sets. I do not make any typographic distinction between classes and sets. Gödel writes $\mathfrak{P}r(X)$ to denote that X is a proper class, which I write as `-member(x,V)`.

Gödel uses the symbol \vee for the inclusive **or**, whereas I write a solidus `|`, which is the standard notation used by **Otter**. Gödel writes a dot `.` for **and**, whereas I write `&`, again following the standard **Otter** notation.

Gödel writes \sim for negation, whereas I use `-`.

Gödel writes $X \in Y$ to denote that X belongs to Y , whereas I write `member(X,Y)`.

Gödel leaves out the universal quantifier. So he writes $(x,y) p(x,y)$ for what I would write as `(all x y p(x,y))`. Gödel uses the symbol \exists for the existential quantifier, whereas I follow the **Otter** notation, and write `exists`. Gödel writes $E!x$ to mean there exists a unique x . I do not use any abbreviation for this. If something exists and is unique, I just write out two separate clauses expressing these two facts.

Gödel uses the symbol \supset for implication, whereas I write `->`. He also writes \equiv for logical equivalence, which I write as `<->`.

Gödel writes $\{xy\}$ for the unordered pair, which I write as `pairset(x,y)`, and he writes $\{x\}$ for the singleton, whereas I write `singleton(x)`.

Gödel's notation for ordered pairs is the reverse of mine. That is, his notation $\langle xy \rangle$ corresponds to what I would write as `pair(y,x)`. Likewise, his ordered triple $\langle xyz \rangle = \langle x \langle yz \rangle \rangle$ corresponds to what I would write as `pair(pair(z,y),x)`.

Gödel writes \subseteq for inclusion, and \subset for proper inclusion. I write `subclass(x,y)` for inclusion, and `subclass(x,y) & -equal(x,y)` for proper inclusion.

Gödel's notation $\mathfrak{E}m(X)$ means that X is empty, which I would write as `equal(X,0)`.

The notation $\mathfrak{E}x(X,Y)$ is Gödel's way of expressing that X and Y are mutually exclusive, that is, `disjoint(X,Y)`.

Gödel writes $\mathfrak{U}n(X)$ to mean that the class X is single-valued, that is,

$$(all\ u\ v\ w\ (member(pair(u,v),X) \& member(pair(u,w),X) \rightarrow equal(v,w))).$$

This is equivalent to the statement `FUNCTION(composite(Id,X))`.

Gödel writes $X.Y$ for the intersection of two classes, whereas I instead would write this out as `intersection(x,y)`.

Gödel writes $\neg X$ for the complement of a class, which I write out as `complement(X)`.

Gödel writes $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ for the domain of X , while I write `D(X)`. He writes $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ for the range, while I write `R(x)`. He uses the term `domain of values` for the range.

Gödel writes the `axiom of choice` as follows:

$$(\exists A)\{(\forall n(A).(x)[\sim \mathfrak{C}m(x). \supset .(\exists y)[y \in x. \langle yx \rangle \in A]])\}$$

The literal translation of this into my notation would be as follows:

```
(exists z (FUNCTION(composite(Id,z)) &
(all x (-equal(x,0) -> (exists y (member(y,x) & member(pair(x,y),z)))))))).
```

Gödel uses the term `direct product` for the cartesian product, and he writes $X \times Y$ for what I would write as `cart(Y,X)`. Note that he reverses cartesian products just as he does with ordered pairs. He also writes X^2 for `cart(X,X)` and X^3 for `cart(cart(X,X),X)`.

Gödel writes $\mathfrak{R}el(X)$ to denote the fact that the class X is a relation, that is what I would write out as `subclass(X, cart(V,V))`. Likewise, for a ternary relation he writes $\mathfrak{R}el_3(X)$, and I would write this out as `subclass(X, cart(cart(V,V),V))`. If A is a relation, Gödel sometimes writes xAy for $\langle xy \rangle \in A$, that is, what I would write as `member(pair(y,x),A)`.

For the inverse of a relation X Gödel uses a variety of notations, including X^{-1} and \check{X} . He uses the term `converse relation`, and for that reason, also the notations $\mathfrak{C}nv(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}nv_1(X)$. The only notation that I use is `inverse(X)`.

The inverse is considered by Gödel to be a first converse. For ternary relations, Gödel also introduces what he calls a second and third converse. His notation $\mathfrak{C}nv_2(X)$ for the second converse is the same as what Quaife and I would call `rotate(rotate(X))`, and his third converse $\mathfrak{C}nv_3(X)$ is what Quaife and I call `flip(X)`.

For the union of two classes, Gödel writes $X + Y$ and I write `union(X,Y)`. He writes $X - Y$ for the relative difference, which I write out in full as `intersection(X, complement(Y))`.

Gödel's notation for the restriction of a relation A to a class B is $A \upharpoonright B$, which I would write as `intersection(A, cart(B,V))` or equivalently as `composite(A, id(B))`. Gödel writes $A \upharpoonright B$ for what I write as `intersection(A, cart(V,B))`, or equivalently, `composite(id(B), A)`.

Gödel's notation for the image is $B \text{``} X$, whereas I write `image(B,X)`.

The membership relation E used by Gödel is what I write as `inverse(E)`. The identity relation is called I by Gödel, but I denote it by `Id`.

Gödel denotes the composite of two relations by $X \mid Y$. This is equivalent to what I write as `composite(X,Y)`. Note that in this case Gödel's notation is not the reverse of mine; he does not switch X and Y .

Gödel writes $\mathfrak{U}n_2(X)$ when the class X and its inverse are both single-valued. That is, if `FUNCTION(composite(Id,X)) & FUNCTION(inverse(X))`. Gödel writes $\mathfrak{F}nc(X)$ when X is a function, which I write as `FUNCTION(X)`.

Gödel writes $A \text{``} x$ for what Quaife and I denote by `apply(A,x)`.

Gödel writes $X \mathfrak{F}n A$ to mean that X is a function with domain A . This is what I would write out as $\text{FUNCTION}(X) \ \& \ \text{equal}(D(X), A)$.

On page 17, Gödel introduces five special functions P_1 through P_5 for which I have special notations. His P_1 is what I call **SECOND**, and his P_2 is what I call **FIRST**. Gödel writes P_3 for the function that I call **SWAP**. His P_4 is my $\text{inverse}(\text{ROT})$, and his P_5 is what I call $\text{cross}(\text{SWAP}, \text{Id})$.

Gödel denotes the sum class by $\mathfrak{S}(X)$. This is what Quaife and I denote by $U(x)$. Gödel denotes the power class by $\mathfrak{P}(X)$. Quaife and I denote the power class by $P(x)$.

The formulas 4.91–4.96 on the top of page 18 in Gödel’s monograph can be translated literally as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{equal}(\text{range}(x), \text{image}(\text{SECOND}, x)). \\ &\text{equal}(\text{domain}(x), \text{image}(\text{FIRST}, x)). \\ &\text{equal}(\text{inverse}(x), \text{image}(\text{SWAP}, x)). \\ &\text{equal}(\text{rotate}(\text{rotate}(x)), \text{image}(\text{inverse}(\text{ROT}), x)). \\ &\text{equal}(\text{flip}(x), \text{image}(\text{cross}(\text{SWAP}, \text{Id}), x)). \\ &\text{equal}(\text{cart}(x, V), \text{image}(\text{inverse}(\text{FIRST}), x)). \end{aligned}$$

The fourth of these equations could be replaced by the equivalent formula

$$\text{equal}(\text{rotate}(x), \text{image}(\text{ROT}, x)),$$

while the fifth equation can also be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$\text{equal}(\text{flip}(x), \text{composite}(x, \text{SWAP})).$$